DOCUMENT RESUME

BD 094 022 UD 014 326

Loadman, William E.; Parks, A. Lee AUTHOR

TITLE Increasing the Academic Performance of Culturally

Disadvantaged Students: A Diamond Among the Glass or

the Possibility of a Type I Error.

Apr 74 PUB DATE

25p.; Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the NOTE

American Educational Research Association (59th,

Chicago, Illinois, April 1974)

EDRS PRICE MF-\$0.75 HC-\$1.85 PLUS POSTAGE

Academic Achievement: Achievement Gains; Caucasian DESCRIPTORS

Students: *Culturally Disadvantaged: Curriculum:

*Elementary School Students; Individualized Instruction; *Inner City; Inservice Teacher

Education: *Program Evaluation: Remedial Instruction:

Research Methodology; *Special Education; Statistical

Analysis

ABSTRACT

The general purposes of the present project were: (1) to develop a multifaceted special education system for providing maximum educational support to children regardless of their classification, (2) to demonstrate this alternative through a noncategorical educational model involving regular and special education in management plans for children regardless of variance in their abilities. The target population consisted of approximately 400 Caucasian, inher-city elementary school students in a large midwestern city, whose general academic performance was severely depressed. The model was to augment the specific instructional skills of the classroom teachers in working with given children or groups of children. Classroom teachers received instruction in building, implementing, and recording the specific instructional activities, concepts, and/or reinforcement techniques for their classroom; the teachers received university credit for implementing these procedures and recording the target behaviors in the classroom. The project was designed to provide a resource center where teachers could seek guidance, suggestions, assistance, and additional materials. Also, a child could be taken there for a brief period each day to be worked with by the project staff. (Author/JM)



3/3

HERA 21.6

INCREASING THE ACADEMIC PURFORMANCE OF CULTURALLY DISADVANTAGED STUDENTS: A DIAMOND AMONG THE GLASS OR THE POSSIBILITY OF A TYPE I ERROR

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

U S DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH.

US DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH
EDUCATION A WELFARE
NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF
EDUCATION
THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN REPAIR
DUCED EXACTLY AN ABLE LESS HOW
THE PERSON ON HAM AND AND AND AND
ATMOUNT BROWNESS FOR ANY WEAR
STATED DOCUMENT OF LESS OF NICKY
SENTOFFICIAL NATIONAL METITION E
EDUCATION BOSINGS, PRINCES
EDUCATION BOSINGS, PRINCES

By: William E. Loadman and A. Lee Parks The Nisonger Center The Ohio State University

ABSTRACT

This sludy was devised to provide students labeled "culturally disadvantaged" maximum stimulation in their greatest deficit area. The goal was to produce one year academic gain in one year. test/post-test design using criterion referenced test and standardized achievement tests as dependent variables indicated that the entire group consistently gained more than one academic year on standardized tests and made significant gains on criterion refer-Amount of learning increased significantly over preenced tests. vious years. Of 100 concurrently conducted studies, only this study produced positive results. Implications critical to intervention programming will be discussed including teacher-related and pupilrelated variables.

Introduction

Problems confronting teachers and students in an inner city environment are by no means new or novel. Solutions leading to the amelioration of these problems have been slow in developing and difficult to implement. One such effort, homogeneous grouping, has met with limited success and does not appear to be the panacea for culturally disadvantaged youth.

In considering the number and varieties of children in many inner city schools who demonstrate learning difficulties, e.g., LD, EMR, EMR-like, NH, etc., it appears to be unrealistic to segregate these children from the regular classroom for extensive special services. For in doing so, 25% or more of the inner school population will be segregated from the articulated curriculum. Thus, alternative strategies must be developed and employed if these children are going to receive maximum support in their educational placements.

The general purposes of the present project were:

- To develop a multifaceted special education system for providing maximum educational support to children regardless of their classification.
- To demonstrate this alternative through a non-categorical educational model involving regular and special education in management plans for children regardless of variance in their abilities.



Review of the Literature

Much emphasis has recently been place on a concept called "mainstreaming," the practice of including children within the regular classroom regardless of the child's classification or skill level. Proponents of mainstreaming argue that students, when isolated from the articulated curriculum of a school and placed exclusively in a special classroom, do not perform academically as well as they might if they were placed in the regular classroom (Davis and Reynolds, 1971; and Dunn, 1968). Coleman (1966) has demonstrated that this mainstreaming does not appear to adversely affect the academic performance of the other children in the classroom.

Stein and Susser (1970), and Haywood (1970), Coleman (1966) and Lei, et al., (1970) have documented the relationship between the cultural background of individuals and their performance on standard-ized measures of achievement. The results are clear—if one does not matriculate from a white middle class background, one's chances of doing well on these measures are substantially reduced. In addition, Stein and Susser (1970) have reviewed a series of studies which document the possibility of increasing performances of culturally disadvantaged persons on standardized measures. The work of Harring (1970) and Patterson (1971) indicate that specific behavioral programming can facilitate the academic performance of children in a classroom setting.

However, all efforts to facilitate the performance of children are not aimed directly at the children. A concentrated effort is made to upgrade the skills of the classroom teachers in specific areas. With the advent of new technologies and priorities, e.g., behavior modification, individualized programming, teacher inservice, etc., into the educational arena, additional alternatives are becoming available to teachers. Increasing the tools of the classroom teacher is a slow and difficult process.

Many studies document the high relationship between academic performance of pupils and the socioeconomic school related factors of the immediate environment (Bowles and Levin, 1968; Guthrie, et al., 1969; Wilbur, 1970; Dyer, 1966; Mayeske, 1969; Coleman, 1966). These studies indicate that as the pupil, teacher and building characteristics become depressed there is an accompanying depression in academic performance.

To these ends this study sought data to support these findings and assertions in a setting where: the children are labeled culturally disadvantaged; the children do not score well on measures of academic performance; the special education children are included in the regular classroom; and specific classroom intervention is initiated over a one-year period.



The goal of this study was to raise the academic performance of children in grades four, five, and six from an inner city school one academic year in one year.

Methodology

Population

The target population consisted of approximately 400 elementary school children located in one school building in a large midwestern city. These children were predominantly Caucasian (97%), first generation descendents of Appalachian parents. The school is classified as "inner city" and the general academic performance of the pupils in the building on measures of academic success was severely depressed. By the end of grade six, the average performance of the pupils on a standardized measure of achievement was two grade levels behind the local and national norms. The school was classified as a Title I priority 3 school.

Program

Of the 400 pupils in the building, 60 were identified as specific targets needing supplemental instruction, behavior modification programming and/or special assistance. However, all pupils in the school were eligible for service and included in the study.

Conceptually, the model was to augment the specific instructional skills of the classroom teachers in working with given children or groups of children. Classroom teachers received instruction in building, implementing and recording the specific instructional activities, concepts and/or reinforcement techniques for their classroom; the teachers received University credit for implementing these procedures and recording the target behaviors in the classroom. The project was designed to provide a resource center where teachers could seek guidance, suggestions, assistance and additional materials. In addition the resource center was a setting in which a child with a specific learning problem could be taken for a brief period each day to be worked with by the project staff.

Data Sources

Bighteen pupil-related and teacher-related characteristics were obtained from the school district. These student socio-economic and teacher qualification characteristics were available for the school building as well as the school district profile for the past four years.

The California Test of Basic Skills (CTBS) Form A was administered to the 400 papils at the beginning of the 1972-73 academic year. A criterion referenced instrument (CRI), developed by school



personnel and indexing mathematics and reading was individually administered to the 60 target children in the early Fall. The program was implemented and the alternate form of the CTBS was given to children in grades 4, 5 and 6 in April, 1973; the CRI was also administered to the group of 60 children in the Spring.

Data Analysis

The pupil-related and teacher-related characteristics were analyzed both across years and between the school building and school district via a series of Chi Square Goodness of Fit Tests and Chi Square Tests of Independence applied in the appropriate instances. The data from the standardized test, CTBS, were analyzed via a series of t-tests comparing the baseline score to the post-test for each grade level (four, five and six) and each subtest of the CTBS. In addition, the CRI data were analyzed with respect to the percent correct for each pupol on each subtest at each grade level, (one through six). A profile of the baseline and final progress of each student was constructed. For reporting purposes, the individual scores for each grade level on each subtest were averaged resulting in an estimate of typical performance at each grade level on each subtest for the baseline and end-of-year scores.

Results

Teacher-Pupil Characteristics

A profile analysis of the pupil-related characteristics and the teacher-related characteristics was conducted documenting the discrepancies and similarities between the school-related characteristics and the school district-related characteristics (see Table 1). For brevity of presentation only the final year is included in the table. The following factors emerge with consistency each year. (Significant Chi Square values were obtained by the relatively large contributions of the following data points).

- 1. The incidence of Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) cases surrounding the target school is more than twice that of the school district.
- 2. The absence rate at the target school is higher than that of the district.
- 3. The pupil mobility rate at the target school is more than twice that of the district.
- 4. The percent of new pupils at the target school is about three times as great as the district.
- 5. The population at the target school is about 97% Caucasian while the school district figure remains about 70% Caucasian.
- 6. The target school has more inexperienced teachers than the district average; almost 70% of the target school teachers have less than five years experience.



Standardized Tests

The CTBS data analyses for grades four, five and six are presented in Tables 2, 3, and 4 respectively. A t-test was used to compare the baseline score with the post-test score. In each of the three tables the results for the entire grade and the results of just the target pupils are presented. No statistical analysis was performed on the data of the target pupils because of the small number of subjects at each grade level. Of the 23 tests conducted, 18 were statistically significant with $P \le 0.05$. This indicates that the progress demonstrated by the respective students during the six month period can be accounted for by more than a chance phenomenon (Student absence caused discrepancy between the number tested in the Fall and Spring of the year).

The greatest gain occurred in the sixth grade pupils (these pupils also began with the greatest deficit). There was approximately one year of academic improvement in the scores of these pupils in a six month period in both math and language. In previous years their rate of gain had averaged slightly more than 1/2 of an academic year in one year of schooling. Thus the rate of

learning was increased.

The 13 target pupils from the sixth grade also demonstrated significant gains from pre- to post-testing, Pr.05. As would be expected, their performance began and ended below that of the entire group and their rate of gain was less than that of the entire grade, but their rate of growth was increased over previous year's rates.

The fifth grade students gained a significant amount on the mathematics subtests (P ζ .05), but the progress on the language subtests was more typical of their previous test performances and did not demonstrate marked increases or accelerated learning. The math performances did demonstrate an increased rate of learning for these pupils.

Grade four students made significant gains in math and some of the language subtests ($P \le .05$). However, this group began with the smallest deficit of the three grade level groups and made slightly less progress than the other two groups. In math the total gain was slightly less than one academic year and in language the total gain was slightly more than one-half academic year. Although the language gain was statistically significant, it does not represent an accelerated rate of learning for these pupils. However, the math gains do represent an increased rate of learning.

Criterion Referenced Instrument

Table 5 presents the data from 35 target students on the math portion of the CRI. Table 6 presents the similar data for the reading section of the instrument, and Table 7 presents the difference



between the scores for Tall and Spring testing on each subtest for each grade level. The scores represent the average performance of all target pupils at a given grade level. Figures 1 and 2 are examples of a typical target student profile showing baseline and endof-year performance (six month actual duration) on each subtest. The scores document the consistent progress and attainment of the target pupils in basic mathematics and reading skills. For the most part, students who were target students in math were not target students in reading.

Discussion

The data from the target school profile document many of the stereotypic problems confronting educators working in a socioeconomically deprived inner city area. As a school, it has characteristics much like other inner city schools and also much different from the school district norms. The socio-economic data and the teacher-related factors suggest a relationship between these factors and academic performance, i.e., as the school related factors become depressed academic performances are also depressed. These data support this interpretation, i.e., academic performance in the target school is much below the district and national norms and the pupil-related factors indicate a relatively low socio-economic pattern. The teacher-related characteristics correlation with academic performance is also less favorable than that of the school district.

Given these parameters as a beginning, is there snything that can be done to aid the students in this school or similar schools? The data from this study suggest that there are ways of helping these students.

First, segregated classes, e.g., special education, EMR rooms, etc., do not appear to yield maximum student performance. The non-categorical assignment of children exhibiting the greatest academic difficulties into the regular classroom significantly increased the performance of these target children on math activities and at a minimum maintained their performance in language and reading. At the same time the individual student programming also improved the general performance of the other children in grades four, five and six, most notably in math but also in language activities. The inclusion of children demonstrating previous academic difficulty into the regular classroom seemed to have a positive motivating effect on these children and did not appear to adversely affect the other children in the room.

However, it should be noted that, from these data, there is no way of determining the effects of the individual programming on the "regular" children with the "special" children removed, i.e., would the regular children have done even better if they had received the



programming without the special children in the room? Although there is no data from this study to document this, these authors believe that the performance of the regular children would not be greatly enhanced over what it was if the hypothesized procedure had been implemented.

Secondly, working directly with the classroom teachers on a cooperative basis in providing specific learning and teaching suggestions to facilitate instruction resulted in increased academic performances from the students. University credit was accrued by
classroom teachers for learning, implementing and recording specific
instructional activities within her classroom. This is not to say
that the new teaching techniques attempted in the classroom caused
the increased student performance, but these activities were certainly part of the project intervention.

Third, a resource certer was established where a teacher could seek additional materials and/or ideas from professional educators. In addition, the resource center was a place where children demonstrating particular classroom difficulties could be worked with for brief periods of time each day on their particular weaknesses until their weaknesses were remediated on the student could move on to the next level of activity.

Fourth, health and social service screening were conducted on each child in the school. Obvious medical, dental and/or social problems were identified and treated, referred to the appropriate agency, worked with, etc.

It is impossible to discern which of the above four activities had the greatest effect on the students. In all likelihood, it was some combination of the above and possibly even the increased attention or the additional people that had a positive effect on the students. However, what is important is the fact that it was possible to significantly increase the academic performance of a group of culturally disadvantaged students.

There is a critical point which must be discussed in this presentation and that is the amount of gain that a student night have made had the intervention not taken place. Looking at the historical data from the target school, there was minimal change over the previous four years on the teachers and pupil-related characteristics. There was also consistency in the below standard academic performance of the pupils in this school over the same time period. There was a consistently increasing deficit as the students matriculated through the elementary grades so that by grade six the average performance was two grade levels below the district norm and national norm. The students were gaining a great deal less than one academic year on the standardized measure in one year of schooling. After intervention of the project, the students gained an average of at least one year



according to the standardized measure in math and in certain grade levels also made great strides in language and reading. In every case the gain measured after intervention was at least equal to the measured gain of previous performances. In addition the target pupils were experiencing academic success while improving their skills. This experience for these target pupils was probably one of the few positive academic experiences in their young lives.

It should also be noted that even after intervention the performances of the children was still markedly below that of the district and national norms. For example, the grade six students after the six month intervention were still more than one full grade level behind norm groups.

Another critical point of discussion centers around the cost of such an endeavor. Initiating such a program for a given school is quite expensive particularly with respect to the resource center. However, it is logistically possible for a given resource center to service several schools thus diminishing the size of the investment for a single school building. There is also considerable cost in developing and initiating the project. Again, however, once the program has been implemented, maintaining the project is cost efficient. Personnel required to staff the project are: three Learning-Resource Center teachers, a teacher's aid, a project coordinator, and access to professional services, e.g., nurse, doctor, dentist, social worker, etc.

The results of this study should be critically examined both optimistically and pessimistically. The concepts presented are but one set of possible alternatives; there may be other more potent combinations. These results are also limited to one school building with very specific characteristics and to one school district. addition, these results are from only one academic year of intervention and may wash out over time. More studies are necessary before definitive results are claimed. These authors are critically examining the outcomes of this study--of all studies conducted in the school district this past year (approximately 100) this is one of the very for studies which yielded positive results. Does this suggest the possibility of a Type I error or are these results for real? Have we identified a diamond or are these results temporarily glittering and nothing but a prite imitation of the real thing. Only additional research will provide the answer and that is the direction being pursued.



TABLE 1

AT TARGET SCHOOL AND SCHOOL DISTRICT, 1971-72

Values are Percentages

School-related Factors	School Ruilding	School District
Incidence of ADC cases to enrollment	34	15
Absence rate	10	20
Pupil mobility rate	38	15
Percent of pupils new to Columbus schools	19	90
Percent of pupils above age in grade level	14	20
Percent of white pupils	37	71
Percent of classroom teachers to total professional staff	62	85
Percent of professional staff in general fund special programs	†⁄0 si	03
Percent of professional staff in state-federal programs	-	20
Staff turnover rate	13	15
Percent of teachers with Bachelor's degrees	63	49
Percent of teachers with 150 hours of study, including B.A.	16	19
Percent of teachers with Master's degrees	23	16
Percent of teachers with $\mathbb{M}.A.+30$ or more hours of study	000	01
Percent of teachers with 1 year or less experience	11	90
Percent of teachers with $1\frac{1}{2}$ to $5\frac{1}{2}$ years experience	63	41
Percent of teachers with 6 to 10출 years experience	16	22
Percent of teachers with 11 or more years experience	LT	32



TABLE 2

*GRADE 4 STUDENT PERFORMANCE ON THE CALIFORNIA TEST OF BASIC SKILLS, 1972-73

MAT'H

LANGUAGE

	Compu- tation Conc	Concept	Appli- ept cation	Total	Vocabu- Compre- lary hension	Compre- hension Total		Spell- No. of ing Student	No. of Students
FALL,	3.25	3.39	3.23	3.23	3.08	3.19	3.04	2.84	50
SPRING	4.45	3.75	3.79	4.10	3.64	3.64	3.58	3.62	94
GAIN	1.20** 0.36	0.36	0.56**	0.56** 0.87**	0.56** 0.45		0.54**	0.54** 0.78**	

* Scores are grade level equivalent. ** Gain significant at P < .05.

PERFORMANCE OF 10 GRADE 4 TARGET STUDENTS ON THE CALIFORNIA TEST OF BASIC SKILLS, 1972-73

MALH

LANGUAGE

	-nawco		Appli- ,		Vocabu- Compre-	Compre-		
	tation	Concept cation	cation	Total lary	lary	hension Total	Total	Spelling
FALL	2.20	2.78	3.08	2.26	2.32	2.36	2.4.5	2.20
SPRING	3.43	2.97	2.73	3.17	2.73 2.13	1	2.33	3.00
GAIN	1.23	0.19	-0.35	0.91	-0.09 -0.23	1	-0.13	0.80



TABI.F

	Totel	3.74	4.03	0.29
LANGUAGE	Compre- her ion Totul	3.68	3.98	0.30
	Vocabu- lary	3.88	4.31	0.98**
	Total	3.82	4.80	**86.0
	Appll-cation	3.81	4.38	0.57**
MATH	Compu- tation Concept	3.90	14.81	1.2F** 0.0
		3.79	5.14	** ur . [
		FALL	SPRING	GAIN

No. of Students

49

26

.29

*Scores are grade level equivalent. **Gain significant at P < .05.

GAIN

PERFORMANCE OF 14 GRADE 5 TARGET STUDENTS ON THE CALFFORNIA TEST OF BASIC SKILLS, 1972-73

2.50 0.26 Total 2.24 Compre-hansion .25 2.55 55 CE.7 LANGI. POR Vocabu-2.49 2.80 0.31 lary 3.35 0.46 2.89 rotal cation Appli-2.98 3.15 0.17 Concept 2.50 2.51 -0.01 MATH Joinputation 3,20 4.03 0.83 SPRING FALL GAIN



TABLE 4

*GRADE 6 STUDENT PERFORMANCE ON THE CALIFORNIA TEST OF BASIC SKILLS, 1972-73

HITE

LANGUAGE

	Compre-		Appli-		-naeson	Compre-		Spe11-	Spell- No. or
	hension Concep	Concept	cation	cation Total	lary	hension 'otal	'.otal	ing	Students
FALL	4.48	4.27	42.4	4.25 4.09	4.09	4.11	3.84	3.84 4.24	43
SPRING	5.68	5.41	5.19	5.40 5.27	5.27	5.07	5.10	5.10 4.77	43
CATM	1.20**	1.14**	0.05**		1.15** 1.18**	[**96·0	1.26*1	1.26** 0.53	

^{*} Scores are grade level equivalents. ** Cain statistically significant at P<.05.

PERTORMANCE OF 13 CRADE SIX TARGET CHILDREN ON THE CALIFRONIA TEST OF BASIC SKILLS, 1972-1973

ATH

LANGUAGE

	Comput		Applica-		Vocabu-	Compre.		
	tation	Concept	tion	Total		hensior	Total	Spelling
FALL	3.72	3.35	3.02	3.52	3.45	2.50	2,87	3.15
SPRING	3.86	4.25	3,04	4.10	3.97	3.69	3.75	3.58
GAIN	0.14	06.0	0.92	0.58	0.52	1.17	0.88	0.43



TABLE 5

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE CONFECT BY 35 TARGET CHILDREN
ON THE CRITTRION REFERENCED INSTRUMENT HACH SECTION, 1972-73

	Readi		Compre	hension	Pota	1	No. of
Grade	Tall	Spring	all	Spring	Fall	Spring	Students
1	33	62	Q	8	17	36	8
2	45	74	10	58	28	51	2
_ 3	90	89	18	40	54	65	2
4	90	90	39	52	64	71	6
_5	85	95	34	70	62	83	9
б	91	94	57	75	71	85	8



TABLE 6

AVERAGE PROCENTAGE CORRECT BY 25 TARGIT CHILDREN ON CRISTRION FRANCED INSTRUMENT READING SECTION, 1972-73

P. ADING

N. of	Students	7	2	2	17	5	2)
	Spring	41	35	2,2	92	75	93
ta.	.a11	25	23	00	<u> </u>	5	73
Cords	Butras	11	-1	200	တ္သ	09	77
Dolch	Fa11	П	0	33	74:	4.1	61
Comprehension Dolch	Spring	0	0	20	70	41	50
ompreh	7a J.1	0	0	0	13	24	38
netics (Spring	28	27	65	83	7/1;	75
Phone	Fall;	<u></u> ယ		44	57	50	99
lphabet	Soring	63	67	76	96	87	76
(Joh	_a11.	33	25	87	26	26	84
	Snring	78	80	38	93	8,	95
Readiness	7a11	7.0	70	32	78	35	2
	rade		2	m	7	5	9

AABLE 7

ON CHIERTON REPERMENT INSTRUMENT OVER SIX MONTH PERIOD, 1972-73

KEADING

Total	97	16	18	15	14	10
Dolch Words	10	1	747	54	19	16
Compre- hension	0	0	20	57	17	12
Phone-:	20	26	21	56	24	15
Alpha- bet	30	35	7	-1	11	10
Readi-	တ	16	9	6	11	7
Total .	19	23		7	21	14
compre- hension	0)	13	22	13	36	1.8
3ead1 - aess	29	29		0	10	3
Grade	ri	2	3	4	5	9



READING

MATH

John G

Figure 1

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Bradley, Betty Hund and Marcel Hundyrak. Severely Retarded Children. Springfield, Illinois. Thomas, 1971.
- Bowles, Samuel and Henry M. Levin. "The Determinants of Scholastic Achievement--An Appraisal of Some Recent Evidence." The Journal of Human Resources, III (Winter, 1968), 3-24.
- Coleman, James S. and others. Equality of Educational Opportunity.
 Washington, D.C. U.S. Government Printing Office, 1966.
- Deno, Evelyn, ed. <u>Instructional Alternatives for Exceptional</u> Children, Council for Exceptional Children, 1973.
- Dunn, L. M. "Special Education for the Mildly Retarded Is Much of It Justifiable?" Exceptional Children, 1968, 35, 5-22.
- Gullion, M. Elizabeth and Patterson, Gerald Ray. Living with Children: New Methods for Parents and Teachers. Revised Edition. Champaign, Illinois. Research Press, 1971.
- Guthrie, James W. and others. Schools and Inequality: A Study of Social Status, School Services, Student Performance, and Post School Opportunity in Michigan. No publication place. The Urban Coalition, 1969.
- Haring, N. G. "Improving Learning Conditions for Handicapped Children in Regular Classrooms." In Deno, E. N. <u>Instructional Alternatives for Exceptional Children</u>. Arlington, Virginia Council for Exceptional Children.
- Haywood, H. Carl, ed. Social-Cultural Aspects of Mental Retardation. New York. Appleton, Century, Crofts, 1970.
- Lei, T.; Butler, E. W.; and Sabagh, G. "Family Socio-Cultural Background and the Behavioral Retardation of Children." Journal of Health and Social Behavior, 13 (1970), 318-26.
- Loadman, William. "Baseline Data Report: Hubbard School, 1972-73 Academic Year." Nisonger Center, Columbus, Ohio, 1973. Mimeo.
- Loadman, William. "End of Year Data Analysis Report: Hubbard School 1972-73 Academic Year." Nisonger Center, Columbus, Ohio, 1973. Mimeo.
- MacMillan, Donald L. "Issues and Trends in Special Education." Mental Retardation, April, 1973, 3-8.



- Mayeske, George W. and others. "Correctional and Regression Analyses of Differences Between the Achievement Levels of Ninth Grade Schools From the Educational Opportunities Survey." Washington, D.C. National Center for Educational Statistics, Office of Education, 1968. Mimeo.
- Moses, Harold Alton, and Cecil Holden Patterson. Readings in Rehabilitation Counseling. Secon Edition. Champaign, Illinois. Stepes Publishing Company, 1971.
- Overbeck, Dan B. and E. Gene Patterson. Behavior Shaping, A Handbook. 1970.
- Parks, A. Lee. "Final Report: Hubbard School Project." Nisonger Center, Columbus, Ohio, 1973. Mimeo.
- Patterson, J. "Analyzing Early Childhood Education Programs." Education Leader. 28: 802-5, 809-11. May, 1971.
- Patterson, Gerald Ray. Families: Application of Social Learning
 To Family Life. Champaign, Illinois. Research Press Co., 1971.

 1971.
- Reynolds, M. C. and Davis, M. D. Exceptional Children in the Regular Classrooms. Minneapolis, Minn. LTT/Special Education, University of Minnesota, 1971.
- Stein, Zena; and Susser, Mervyn. "Mutability of Intelligence and Epidemiology of Mild Mental Retardation." Review of Educational Research. Webruary, 7. 40, no. 1, 1970.
- Wilbur, T. "Research into the Correlates of School Performance: A Review and Summary of Literature." Michigan State Department of Education, Bureau of Research Monograph 1, 1969, Mimeo.

